

Hunterston Site Stakeholder Group

**THE EIGHTEENTH HUNTERSTON SITE STAKEHOLDER GROUP MEETING
HELD ON THURSDAY 17 SEPTEMBER 2009 IN THE BRISBANE HOUSE HOTEL, LARGS.**

Present

Magnox North

Mr Stephen Price
Mr Reuben Phillips
Mrs Shelagh Milligan
Mr Tony Bale

British Energy

Mr Ian Stewart
Mr Stuart McGhie (Trade Union Representative)

Hunterston Estate

Mr Ralston Ryder

Community Councillors

Mr John Lamb - West Kilbride (Chair)
Mrs Rita Holmes – Fairlie
Mr Peter MacFarlane – Largs
Mr Ian Frame – Millport

In Attendance

Mr Derek Rooney, Magnox North
Mr Alistair Walker, Magnox North
Mrs Anne Bradley, British Energy
Mr Andy Taylor, British Energy
Ms Sue Fletcher, British Energy
Ms Linda Smith, British Energy
Mr Alan MacRae, CNC, British Energy
Mrs Lorette Dunlop, Ayrshire & Arran Health Board

NDA

Mr Keith Riding

SEPA

Mr Keith Hammond
Mr Ian Robertson

NII

Mr Peter Rothwell

Councillors

Mr Robert Barr (Dalry & West Kilbride)

Strathclyde Police

Strathclyde Fire & Rescue

Community Members

Mr William Laing
Mr John Robertson
Mr Frank Craig

Civil Nuclear Constabulary

Inspector Allan Macrae

Scottish Government

Mr Robert Turner, Senior Agricultural Officer

A representative from the local press and several members of the public were also in attendance

Apologies for Absence

Mr Kenny MacDougall, Mr Peter MacFarlane, Mr Angus Cochran-Patrick, Mrs Nina Staebler, Dr Will Munroe, Mr Mark Gallacher, Mr Ewan Young, Cllr William Gibson, Dr Les Davies and Mr Peter Roach.

1. CHAIRMAN'S OPENING REMARKS

Chairman John Lamb welcomed everyone to the 18th meeting of the Hunterston Site Stakeholder Group (SSG) and took the opportunity to reiterate the SSG code of conduct, that all members shall respect one another and any challenges made are of the statements/ideas, not the people concerned.

2. MINUTES of 25th JUNE 2009 APPROVAL

Item two of the June 2009 minutes states that:

'Mr Roach addressed the action from item two, stating several members of the SSG, including Mr Daniel Reid, had been invited to visit the Site and view the report'.

As a result, a meeting was held at Hunterston A Site on Monday 27 April 2009 to discuss land drainage in the CP7 compound. Mr Jon Dolphin, Project Manager for the Land Quality Management project, presented an abstract from a presentation entitled 'Applying the SAFEGROUNDS Key Principles in the real world', and a Hunterston A Site map showing historic land drainage. However, there is an interim report being drafted which Mr Lamb noted was still outstanding and asked for an update. Mr Stephen Price confirmed that this report was currently in progress and is expected to be produced by the end of this financial year.

Mrs Rita Holmes referred to an action placed upon Mr Reuben Phillips to explain why carbon 14 was not a risk. Mrs Holmes explained that she had asked about the problem and risks of carbon 14 during the March 2009 meeting, however Mr Phillips' verbal response was not minuted due to a technical fault with the recording equipment. Mrs Holmes stated she would like a written response to her query, which Mr Lamb said could be added to this minute as an appendix (see appendix 1).

ACTION: R. PHILLIPS

Mr Daniel Reid wished to complain that section 6A of the minutes was an unfair reflection of the discussions which took place, as the full content of the comments made had not been minuted. Mr Lamb responded that the verbatim minute of the meeting is available on tape and can be accessed at any time. He stated that there was no requirement to minute the whole discussion as this would have added a considerable amount of pages to the minutes. Mr Lamb added that an amendment will be added to the June 2009 minutes, detailing that the full verbatim report is available upon request.

ACTION: S. MILLIGAN

On the basis of the above amendments being made, the minutes of the June 2009 meeting were accepted.

Before moving on to the next agenda item, Cllr Robert Barr wished to make a proposal. He stated that it was unacceptable for previous meetings to run for over three hours, therefore in order to speed meeting times up, a matter is closed once an answer is given. Mrs Holmes responded that she believed this proposal was against the whole ethos of the Site Stakeholder Group. Mrs Holmes stated that it took five years for her to be able to attend a meeting where she could ask questions of Hunterston A and B. Mrs Holmes did not think it was too much to ask for a meeting to run for three hours considering there were only four meetings per year, and in addition, it was the SSG's responsibility to monitor and scrutinise what is happening at the Sites. Mrs Holmes made it clear she would not accept this proposal. Mr Lamb confirmed that meetings would take as long as required.

3. CORRESPONDENCE

Apologies were given and Mr Lamb stated that all SSG members were informed in July 2009 that Mr Ian Stewart had been appointed as new Station Director at Hunterston B.

Correspondence has been received, following the meeting, from Strathclyde Police regarding their attendance at SSG. This is available as appendix 3.

4.A HUNTERSTON B STATION REPORT

Mr Ian Stewart introduced himself as the new Station Director at Hunterston B before reviewing the station's quarterly report.

Mr Stewart reported on fire safety at B station (not included within the report), and said there was an open reporting process when it comes to fire, as well as all other areas in the station. There were a number of levels with regard to fire, ranging from low level events (e.g. a fire extinguisher out of date) to major events. There had been four minor events during 2009, ranging from a defective light fitting in an old workshop to a cladding fire which happened during a roof repair. This was managed very quickly by the station's own fire teams. Strathclyde Fire Service did attend, primarily in ensuring that the fire was put out. All staff were properly mustered and accounted for and the station's duty to the public and community was paramount at all times. This event was not reported at the time to the SSG, however if this was the type of event the SSG wished reporting separately then he would endeavour to do so in the future.

Mrs Holmes asked for an update on the Low Level Waste discharge. Mr Taylor advised that the procedures involved have been re-written, all associated safety documents re-written and work instructions re-engineered by our System Health department to prevent recurrence.

In terms of the seal oil cooler, a new tube nest has been fitted and in addition the station has changed its maintenance observation processes and will be checking the seal oil coolers and any other coolers on a more regular basis. Over the next outage periods, these coolers will be replaced with material which is much more resistant to corrosion.

Mrs Holmes enquired about the percentage of workers who were going in to work on the reactor for the first time during the statutory outage. Mr Stewart responded that he was unable to provide percentages, however one of the outage preparation questions he had was what the percentage of returnees there was to the station and it was very high, especially among the supervisors. They are people who have both worked at Hunterston before and are well versed in the industry so they are well aware of the human performance needs and processes and procedures and the culture required.

Mr Lamb asked Mr McGhie if he could identify which 11 schools in the Ayrshire community are being sponsored with regard to the Generation Science programme 2010. Mr McGhie said that the list of schools covered North and Central Ayrshire as well as Arran, and not having the specific information with him offered to provide the list in writing to Mr Lamb. Mr Lamb suggested that the list be provided as an appendix to the minutes (see appendix 2).

ACTION: S. MCGHIE

Clr Barr said he wished to take the opportunity to congratulate the Hunterston B station fire service with the way they carried out their duties in respect of the fire because if they hadn't then the fire service would have pointed out any concerns.

4.B NII REPORT

Mr Peter Rothwell reviewed the NII report. He also advised that he had just given Hunterston B station permission to change their operating rules to cover the Statutory Outage starting on Friday 18th September 2009.

Mr Rothwell informed the members that the NII which was part of the nuclear directive of HSE is being taken in to a new organisation and re-established as an independent statutory organisation. An anticipated benefit would be the enhanced ability of the nuclear regulator to attract and retain the right numbers of highly skilled and experienced staff, which will help to deliver regulatory decisions in a more consistent and timely manner.

Mrs Holmes asked if the NII had anything to do with WANO coming in to the station. Mr Rothwell responded that WANO was an industry organisation which regulators agreed up front they would not get involved with. However, the NII had noticed that when WANO visits take place on sites, there have always been significant improvements. It is a very effective industry organisation because its activities are across the whole world. As an industry organisation, the NII would not have any objections to WANO coming on to any site.

Mr Robertson asked if any of the emergency exercises were performed during the night and also was the station pre-warned of any NII inspection in this regard. Mr Rothwell advised that once a year the station carried out a formal demonstration exercise which the NII witness. It worked out at approximately two will be in-hours and one will be out of hours over a three year cycle. It is necessary to give advance warning of exercise dates in order for the station to arrange assistance from the emergency services so that they can assist them as they would do in a real emergency. The dates are published in advance as often government centres also participate. Mr Rothwell said however **no** advance information was given to the station as to the actual "scenario" of the emergency exercise.

4.C SEPA REPORT

Mr Keith Hammond presented the Hunterston B SEPA report and the following discussions took place:

Mr Lamb asked Mr Hammond if he could confirm that the Final Warning letter issued to the station by SEPA in respect of the Low Level Waste discharge was in fact a close out letter on the event. Mr Hammond said there were a number of enforcement options available to SEPA such as prohibition notice, enforcement notice, referral to the Procurator Fiscal and SEPA could also choose to ignore it. In this case, a decision was taken to choose the Final Warning Letter. The prohibition letter was discounted as the station had ceased discharges for about a fortnight while they tried to come to grips with what had happened and understanding the event. A number of presumptions must be met in order to refer to the Procurator Fiscal and none of these had been met so no referral was made. This left an Enforcement Notice and a Final Warning letter, the decision was taken to issue the latter. Essentially, if the station did something else of a similar nature, that would trigger automatically a referral to the Procurator Fiscal. This indicated the level of seriousness of a Final Warning letter.

Inspector Allan MacRae of the Civil Nuclear Constabulary at Hunterston B joined the meeting. Mr Lamb requested Inspector MacRae update the members on the role of the CNC in respect to the licensed Site. Inspector MacRae said CNC were responsible for the safety and protection of Hunterston B and responsible for sites which are classified as category B. He said that there was a common boundary between Hunterston B and Hunterston A and CNC patrolled the entire perimeter as well as a 5km radius around the stations.

CNC's remit was related to safety of nuclear material. They are a counter-terrorism force and did not get involved in domestic issues however they would assist Strathclyde Police if requested by them to do so. Mr Lamb thanked Inspector MacRae for providing this information.

5.A HUNTERSTON A SITE REPORT

Mr Stephen Price presented the Hunterston A Site report and the following discussions took place:

Mr Ian Frame commented that the Site's temporary weather barrier did not blend in at all with the background and was very noticeable from Millport. Mr Price stated that the driver behind the colour choice of the temporary weather barrier was purely safety. The white colour of the plastic permits enough light within the reactor buildings to enable inspections and work to be carried out safely. Mr Price added that according to Site plans, work will start on the permanent weather envelope around 2016 and will look very similar to the cladding on the Site's ILW Store.

A member of the public referred to the project to dewater and decommission of the Cartridge Cooling Pond (CCP), and asked how the one year delay in the operation of the Site's Modular Active Effluent Treatment Plant (MAETP) was affecting progress. Mr Price confirmed that the delay is having no effect on the timescale for the dewatering and decommissioning of the CCP and the project is on schedule as detailed within the Lifetime Plan. The Site is confident that the MAETP will return to active commissioning within this financial year i.e. before March 2010. Mr Price added that the MAETP has not been fully out of operation as there have been interim periods of operation and testing. The MAETP is a state of the art plant, and represents a significant improvement on any caesium remediation or particulate removal that the plant has ever had. Mr Price wished to finish by stating that during the last financial year, the Site had nine months of active operation of the MAETP, where caesium levels within the CCP were reduced by 50%. It is therefore essential to underpin consistent long term safe operation of the MAETP, and that involves taking time to do it correctly.

Now that the Land Quality Management project has placed a contract to design a solution for addressing an historic leak of radioactive contamination, Mr Lamb asked when the report for this will be available. Mr Price replied that the interim report is expected to be available by March 2010, with the final report available in the first quarter of the new financial year.

Mrs Holmes commented that she was glad the Site were taking their time to empty the CCP so carefully, but asked what is meant by orphan waste. Mr Price explained that orphan waste is well known quantified historic pieces of equipment which lie at the bottom of the CCP, such as thermocouples and parts of the Magnox fuel elements i.e. parts of the support structure, put there during the operational lifetime to shield radiation.

5.B NII REPORT

Dr Les Davies had submitted apologies for absence but had provided a written report. This report states that:

A team from NII witnessed Level One Emergency Exercise Lochranza on 10 June 2009. The team found the exercise to be an adequate demonstration of the Site's emergency arrangements. However, due to a problem with the organisation of the exercise, the NII will witness a shift exercise in the future to further increase confidence in the Site's performance.

Mr Lamb wished to note that an update on the witnessing of this shift exercise would be appropriate within the next NII report. **ACTION: L. DAVIES**

5.C SEPA REPORT

Mr Ian Robertson presented the SEPA report and the following discussions took place:

Mrs Holmes asked if the multimedia application for disposal authorisation would make it more difficult for the public to understand liquid, gaseous and solid radioactive waste disposal limits and discharges. Mr Robertson confirmed that this was not the case as the three components will be distinct, easy to follow, and therefore should cause no interpretation problems.

6. WILWREP PRESENTATION

Hunterston A Site Project Leader Alistair Walker delivered an overview presentation of the Wet Intermediate Level Waste Recovery and Encapsulation Project (WILWREP) to the group, and the following discussions took place:

Mr Lamb asked if the encapsulation building was at negative pressure with regards to gaseous discharges being dealt with via the air conditioning system. Mr Walker replied that he could not say what exact pressures within the building are, however there is an active vent system housed over the encapsulation plant which discharges out through approved stacks incorporated within the Site's planned multimedia application.

Mr Lamb then asked if the containers being used for the Wet Intermediate Level Waste are vented, and if so, would there be gaseous discharges from the containers. Mr Walker explained that vented 3m cubed drums will be used for the encapsulation process, and that over time, gaseous discharges would occur from the drying out of grout matrix.

Mrs Holmes asked what gamma radiation dose someone would be likely to get if they were exposed to the sludge. Mr Phillips explained that if the sludge was unshielded then the dose rate would be several millisieverts. However, the encapsulation plant is remotely operated, therefore personnel are in a control room away from where the removal of the sludge is taking place. Water is also kept in the tanks, stopping the sludge drying out and acting as a form of shielding, therefore the only personnel exposed to a significant radiation dose would be in the event of a spill of activity, and even then this would be a very limited period of time. In terms of the operational use of the facility, the doses are all very low as the sludge is all being engineered out.

Mrs Holmes asked if plans for the encapsulation plant still need approval from NII and SEPA. Mr Walker confirmed that all plans have been approved and a contract placed with Aker Solutions in July 2007 to design, back-build and commission the retrieval and encapsulation system. The design is essentially complete now, and enabling works started on site in July 2009. The first major hold point for the project with regards to subsequent approvals is in the near future when the Site must go through an independent nuclear safety assessment process relating to various project stages, one being the pre construction safety report. This will go to INSA (independent nuclear safety assessments) for approval and should hopefully be accepted by the beginning of December 2009, giving the formal approval to carry out the main construction works.

Mr Stuart McGhie asked if the Intermediate Level Waste (ILW) will remain in the ILW store permanently or will it in time move to a central store. Mr Walker explained that the strategy for the WILWREP is to retrieve and encapsulate the waste from its current location in

underground tanks, encapsulate it into 3m drums, before transferring these drums to the ILW store as a temporary measure until the National Repository becomes available thereafter.

Mr Lamb asked if the liquid from the final wash-down of the tanks would go through the active effluent treatment plant. Mr Walker confirmed that the liquid would go via the MAETP.

7. NDA GENERAL UPDATE

Mr Keith Riding presented the NDA general update and the following discussions took place:

Mr McGhie stated that a number of companies had left North and Central Ayrshire over the last 10-15 years. It was therefore proposed by Mr McGhie that the SSG hold a special meeting to discuss the merits of new build within North Ayrshire. Mr Riding replied that he, Mr Peter Roach, Mr Kenny MacDougall and Mr Lamb were all very keen to set up an effective committee; however much work has to be done in order to get the correct bodies involved. Cllr Barr seconded Mr McGhie's proposal.

Mrs Holmes wished to comment that it was not the role of the SSG to hold meetings to promote the building of a new nuclear power station at Hunterston, and that the socio-economic subgroup would have to be very clear why it was meeting and what is to be discussed to avoid any possible nuclear versus coal debate. Mr McGhie replied that he was not asking the SSG to promote the building of a new nuclear power station, but purely the lack of investment and job opportunities in North and Central Ayrshire. Mr Riding stated that the NDA would not promote anything such as funding new build, however they would be supportive of bringing in the correct consultants to investigate what socio-economic generation would be suitable for the local area, before moving forward in a structured way to see what was possible.

Mr Tony Bale commented that there was no adverse debate at a previous socio-economic subgroup meeting, as its sole purpose was to look at the broader aspects of regeneration in North Ayrshire. Mr Bale added that if the group did not get together to discuss matters, with the backing of the local councillors, then North Ayrshire will only go backwards. Mr Lamb explained that the socio-economic subgroup chairman, Mr Kenny MacDougall, and the Secretariat got hold of the socio-economic status of North Ayrshire. This was sent to the NDA in order for North Ayrshire to be considered a deprived area. Mr Lamb finished by placing an action on the secretariat to arrange a socio-economic subgroup before the next SSG meeting to discuss the above issues.

ACTION: S. MILLIGAN

A member of the public asked how financial penalties for non delivery of programme work tied in with the Hunterston A Site report which stated that 92% of the work was completed with a 7% saving. Mr Riding explained that he was referring to the last financial year.

The same member of the public then referred to a statement Mr Riding made during his report, that the MAETP was crucial to the programme for emptying the CCP. The member of the public said he had raised this point and had been told by Mr Price that the MAETP delay would have no impact on programme. Mr Riding explained that currently, the delay is not having an impact on the program of work, however, it is still important to get the plant up and running as soon as possible as the project could be effected 12 months down the line. The member of the public added that he was a nuclear power enthusiast, and examples such as delays and over expenditure all contribute to the bad image of nuclear power. Mr Riding agreed but replied that the NDA's estates have began to improve over the last five years as all Sites are working effectively and sharing best practice which is proving beneficial. The

member of the public added that as a former employee at Hunterston A Site, he knew that the MAETP was totally unnecessary and the reason for its existence is that decisions were taken several years ago which were uncalled for. Mr Price responded that in terms of the remediation of the CCP, the existing technology of the Active Effluent Treatment Plant cannot handle the task required, however the technology of the MAETP and its state of the art technology is a definite requirement for the safe and effective clean up of Hunterston A Site.

Mr Lamb asked Mr Riding for an update on the Low Level Waste Repository (LLWR) near Drigg as vault nine is now under construction. Mr Riding confirmed that vault nine was now under construction following a short period of time when the LLWR had to cease accepting packages whilst the initial floor slab was being laid. He added that it was his understanding that the project was now ahead of program and consigners are sending packages. The Low Level Waste strategy document is crucial for the nation, and the NDA are looking how the amount of waste going into the LLWR can be minimised.

8. UPDATE ON EXTERNAL MEETINGS

Mr Lamb explained that there had been no external meetings since the last SSG meeting, however in October 2009 the Scottish Sites meeting takes place in Edinburgh, in November 2009 the second workshop of what the Scottish Government term as Higher Level Waste as well as the NSG in Manchester.

9. PUBLIC Q AND A SESSION

A member of the public introduced himself as a resident of Fairlie and member of Fairlie Community Council, and wished to state that Fairlie Community Council has complete confidence in Mrs Rita Holmes' ability to represent their views at SSG meetings, and they are very grateful to her for the knowledge that she brings to them. He added that at each monthly Community Council meeting, an opportunity is given for a discussion on issues considered relevant to the nuclear industry at Hunterston.

The member of the public then referred to the temporary weather barrier project and stated that the white plastic was an eyesore and visually intrusive. He added that he could not believe the reason behind the colour choice was purely a safety reason, and hoped some thought would be given to ameliorating what is a highly intrusive adverse visual impact on a very attractive piece of the Clyde Coast. Mr Price stated serious consideration had already been given, specialists, architects and consultants were involved throughout the project. While the Site will take on board the comment, a commitment cannot be made to make any specific change as the project is at an advanced stage of reducing hazard and risk on the Site, which is its prime concern.

The same member of the public asked if an assurance could be given that the critical parts of Hunterston B will remain operational during a once in a thousand year surge tide in the Firth of Clyde, and that there will be a safe means of access to and egress from the station during such an event. Mr Stewart stated that he suspected the answer would be yes, however would like to follow up on the question at a later date to give proper reassurance. It was recommended that this reassurance should be brought to the attention of the SSG.

ACTION: I. STEWART

Mr Stewart was then asked for clarity on Hunterston B's safety zoning in terms of what can and cannot take place within this zoning. Mr Rothwell explained on Mr Stewart's behalf that if people want to carry out major developments near to a Nuclear Installation, the application must go to the relevant council and eventually to the HSE to consider whether to accept or

reject, based upon whether it would impact on the emergency arrangements on that facility. The member of the public confirmed that he was happy with the response to his question.

Mr Daniel Reid referred back to a comment made by Mr Lamb at the start of the meeting about the report referred to by Mr Peter Roach in the minutes of June 2009. Mr Lamb appeared to invite a comment that it was an interim report which was being referred to, and this is not what was indicated in the minutes. Rather, it was described as an 86 page report and is incompatible with the answer given today. Mr Lamb explained that the initial report was produced by on site experts and was an 86 page report. This report has now been passed on to Enviro and their sub contractor Land Engineering to produce a final action report and provide a solution for the contaminated land within the CP7 compound. Mr Reid wished to make two statements that he has presented his evidence of pre existing drainage to Hunterston A. He says that he now has a document showing that Hunterston A knew of pre existing drainage, which originated in Hunterston A it is believed. These statements are believed by Mr Reid to be true, and explain his frustration at the situation which has occurred when minutes do not cover everything that has been said. Mr Reid wished to also express his total disgust that the situation has been allowed to reach the stage it has. Mr Lamb thanked Mr Reid for his comments, and suggested that if he did have proof of the aforementioned document, it would be of interest to the Site. Mr Reid stated that he had raised this point on a number of occasions and been dismissed. Mr Price commented that he has discussed this matter on numerous occasions with Mr Peter Roach, and a lot of time and effort has been put into trying to resolve the issue. Mr Price assured Mr Reid that the Site had the best intentions to resolve the issue but that they were not entirely sure as to what the nature of the issue was. Mr Reid stated the issue regarded pre existing drainage in the CP7 compound. In addition, Mr Reid explained that the other issue relates to the preparation of emergency situations. In September 2007, the initial matter was raised at an SSG meeting and in turn a letter was sent by Mr Roach asking for the legal references why the NFU should be considered. This was provided to both Hunterston A and B by Mr Reid in November 2007. It has taken a considerable amount of further pressure from Mr Reid to receive a letter from Mr Roach, which is contradictory to the points raised and the original letter asking for the legal references. Mr Reid stated he has not yet received a response from Hunterston B, despite submitting a further written application in 2008. Mr Lamb drew the conversation to a close at this point, and stated Mr Price would take this matter up with Mr Roach and deal with Mr Reid directly.

Mr Lamb finished by stating that the date and venue of the next SSG has yet to be arranged, but will be made clear in due course. Mr Lamb thanked everyone for their attendance, and drew the meeting to a close.

Mr John Lamb
SSG Chairman

Appendix 1

“Gaseous discharges from the SAWB are monitored for beta particulate only. Monitoring specifically for Carbon 14 is only carried out on the reactor vessels. Historically the graphite in the vessels acted as a source for Carbon 14 production when the reactors were operational. There has never been a requirement to monitor the SAWB (or the other facilities on Site) specifically for C-14 as the monitoring for beta particulate is seen as the best indicator for the activity being discharged.

In terms of the C-14 discharges from the reactor vessels, these constitute 6.2% of the RSA authorisation limit (as reported in the last HNA SSG report).

It is therefore considered that the C-14 discharges from the SAWB are negligible, as the total beta particulate discharges from all facilities on site constitute 0.7% of the RSA authorisation limit.” **Reuben Phillips, Hunterston A Site**

Appendix 2

List of Schools being sponsored for the Generation Science programme 2010:

[1] Stanecastle School.
Burns Crescent, Irvine KA11 1AQ.

[2] Caladonia School
Campbell Ave, Saltcoats.
KA21 5AF.

[3] Corsehill School
Fergushill Rd, Kilwinning.
KA13 7LW .

[4] Gatesside School
Main Rd Gatesside, Beith.
KA15 2LF.

[5] Glengarnock School
Grahamston Ave, Beith.
KA14 3AF.

[6] Kilmory School
Kilmory, Brodick, Arran.
KA27 8PQ.

[7] Shiskine School
Shiskine, Brodick Arran.
KA27 8EP

[8] Pennyburn School
Sundrum Place, Kilwinning.
KA13 6SE.

[9] Mount Carmel School
53 Meiklewood Rd.
Onthank, Kilmarnock.
KA3 2EL

[10] St Andrews School
Grassyards Rd, Kilmarnock.
KA3 7SL.

[11] Glencairn School
New St, Stevenston.
KA20 0DQ

Appendix 3, correspondence from Strathclyde Police, follows on page 11